



Letter to the article by Oh et al.

Mengjun Wu¹ · Bin Shi²

Received: 21 May 2024 / Accepted: 24 May 2024 / Published online: 1 June 2024
© The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Japanese Society of Anesthesiologists 2024

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the recent article by Oh et al. [1]. Their inspirational study on this important topic deserves further discussion. In particular, we have some concerns in regards to their study conclusion. The authors have claimed that, considering the higher stability of hypothermia with similar accuracy, nasal septum pulse oximetry may be an attractive alternative to finger pulse oximetry. However, when the core body temperature of patients was less than 36 °C, the results might not be accurate.

It is known that, inadvertent perioperative hypothermia (IPH, core body temperature < 36 °C) is a common consequence of anesthesia, which increases morbidity and potentially increases mortality [2, 3]. Studies show that if perioperative hypothermia is left untreated, it results in many adverse effects on the patient (impaired coagulation and platelet function, increased blood loss and transfusion requirements, increased oxygen consumption, and increased heart rate, blood pressure, and plasma catecholamine levels) [3, 4]. In the article by Oh et al. [1], the median (interquartile range) of core temperature was 35.7 °C (35.2–36.1 °C) at 90 min, and core temperature was less than 36 °C from the onset at 30 min after induction of anesthesia. However, an important question

is raised: what were the percentages of patients with inadvertent perioperative hypothermia?

Maintaining a normothermic patient is quite challenging for clinicians during the perioperative period [3]. Hypothermia should be prevented since it causes a left shift of the oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve, thus interfering with tissue oxygen delivery [2, 3]. Hypothermia shifts oxygen–hemoglobin dissociation curve to the left, resulting in lower PaO₂ for pulse oximetry in neonates with hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy [5]. During hypothermia, pulse oximetry 92–98% was associated with significantly lower temperature-corrected PaO₂ (51 mmHg; interquartile range, 43–51) compared with normothermia (71 mmHg; interquartile range, 61–85) [5]. Therefore, under such an inadvertent perioperative hypothermia setting, the changes in pulse oxygen saturation might not be accurate.

From the discussion above, the current study by Oh et al. did not provide convincing evidence that nasal septum pulse oximetry may be an attractive alternative to finger pulse oximetry.

Declaration

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Oh Y, Kim DK, Ryu DK, Choi JW. Evaluation of pulse oximeter at the nasal septum during general anesthesia: comparison with finger oximeter. *J Anesth.* 2024;38:364–70.
2. Sagioglu G, Ozturk GA, Baysal A, Turan FN. Inadvertent perioperative hypothermia and important risk factors during major abdominal surgeries. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.* 2020;30:123–8.
3. Simegn GD, Bayable SD, Fetene MB. Prevention and management of perioperative hypothermia in adult elective surgical patients: a systematic review. *Ann Med Surg (Lond).* 2021;72:103059.

This comment refers to the article available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-024-03317-5>.

✉ Bin Shi
3400164739@qq.com

¹ Department of Anesthesiology, Chengdu Women's and Children's Central Hospital, School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China

² Department of Pain Medicine, Wenjiang District People's Hospital of Chengdu, Wenjiang Hospital of Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, No 86, Kangtai Street, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China

4. Doufas AG. Consequences of inadvertent perioperative hypothermia. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2003;17:535–49.
5. Afzal B, Chandrasekharan P, Tancredi DJ, Russell J, Steinhorn RH, Lakshminrusimha S. Monitoring gas exchange during hypothermia for hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2019;20:166–71.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.